

Research Article

Comparative study between the use of preoperative Misoprostol and intraoperative bilateral uterine artery ligation versus bilateral uterine artery ligation alone versus Misoprostol alone in reducing blood loss during abdominal myomectomy

Hashem F. Mohammed

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Minia University, Egypt.

Abstract

Background: Fibroids are benign tumors characterized by the proliferation of uterine muscle cells and the production of a collagenous matrix. Myomectomy can be accomplished by laparotomy, laparoscopy or hysteroscopy. Substantial blood loss associated with the dissection of huge fibroids renders myomectomy a more technically challenging procedure. It was reported in abdominal myomectomy that up to 20% of patients need blood transfusion and up to 5% might need hysterectomy. **Methods:** Sixty patient were recruited to this study from the outpatient clinic in Minia university hospital requiring abdominal myomectomy for symptomatic leiomyomas. The patients classified randomly in to 3 groups: Group (I): including 20 patients received preoperative misoprostol in dose of 800 µg vaginal.(Mistoac 200 mcg per tablet) and do bilateral uterine artery ligation (combined group), Group (II) including patients 20 do bilateral uterine artery ligation alone (ligation group). Group (III): including patients 20 that received misoprostol alone in dose of 800 µg vaginal.(Misotac 200 mcg per tablet) (misoprostol group). **Results:** the study resulted in: there is nostatistically significant difference betwn th 3 groups regarding age, parity and body wight. Blood Loss (ml) was 337.0±81, 5472.0 ± 188.1, 527.0±80.0 in group 1, 2, 3 respectively. Post-operative Hb (gm/dl) was 9.97 ± 0.90, 9.03±1.00, 9.02±1.03 in group 1, 2, 3 respectively. The Number of patients needed blood transfusion was 1(0%), 4(20%) and 0(0%) in group 1, 2, 3 respectively. **Conclusion:** It could be concluded that preoperative use of vaginal misoprostol prior to abdominal myomectomy in combination with intraoperative bilateral uterine artery ligation could be a effective, efficient and reliable methods in reducing blood loss with subsequent reduction in need for blood transfusion associated with minimal drug related adverse effects than the use of bilateral uterine artery ligation alone or the use of misoprostol alone without increasing the operative time

Key words: misoprostol, myomectomy, uterine artery ligation.

Introduction

Uterine fibroids (also termed leiomyomas or myomas) are the most common tumors of the female repro uterine fibroids may be diagnosed by pelvic clinical examination and ultrasound. Sometimes it is not possible clinically to accurately determine whether a pelvic mass involves the uterus or not, but ultrasound is a simple way to confirm this. In doubtful cases, magnetic resonance (MR) imaging or computed tomography may help (Shwayder et al., 2014).

The traditional management of symptomatic fibroids has been surgery (hysterectomy or myomectomy).

However, some women do not want invasive surgery and wish to retain their uterus and fertility. Fortunately in this respect, during the last few years new medical and surgical uterine-conserving alternatives have become available as technological advances have been made.

Pharmacological management of symptomatic uterine fibroids has benefited from the introduction of new compounds, although the indications and treatment duration are limited by their side-effects (Borah et al., 2013).

Myomectomy can be accomplished by laparotomy, laparoscopy or hysteroscopy. Substantial blood loss associated with the dissection of huge fibroids renders myomectomy a more technically challenging procedure. It was reported in abdominal myomectomy that up to 20% of patients need blood transfusion and up to 2% might need hysterectomy (Lethaby et al., 2004). Number of interventions have been introduced to reduce bleeding during myomectomy. Despite these actions, prevention of excessive hemorrhage during myomectomy remains a major task for surgeons (Morita et al., 2004).

It is well known that prostaglandins such as misoprostol or prostaglandin E₂ analog, not only increases myometrial contractions but also reduces uterine artery blood flow and may decrease intraoperative hemorrhage in myomectomies (Rossetti et al., 1999). While they may be asymptomatic they can cause abnormal bleeding, pelvic pressure symptoms and infertility. Fibroid growth and regression vary throughout life. Thus, they tend to grow during the patient's reproductive years and regress after the menopause. They affect millions of women and are the leading cause of hysterectomy (Bulun, 2013)

Aim of the work

The present study was designed to evaluate the use of pre-operative Misoprostol and intraoperative bilateral uterine artery ligation versus bilateral uterine artery ligation alone versus Misoprostol alone in reducing blood loss during abdominal myomectomy in Minia University hospital.

Patients and Methods

Setting

This study was conducted prospectively in the department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, El-Minia University Hospital

during period from January. to September 2014. El-Minia University Hospital is a tertiary hospital in Upper Egypt attended by most complicated cases.

Ethics

The study protocol was approved by the clinical research ethics committee of faculty of medicine, El-Minia University.

Recruitment & Consent:

Sixty patients were recruited to this study from the outpatient clinic in Minia university hospital requiring abdominal myomectomy for symptomatic leiomyomas, written informed consent were taken from patients after full explanation of the procedure.

Inclusion criteria

Patients undergoing abdominal myomectomy with the following conditions:

- Anterior wall myoma
- Intra mural myoma
- Single myoma
- Multiple myomas provided that removal will be through one incision line
- Comparable size of myoma in all patients

Exclusion criteria

- Known allergy to prostaglandin.
- Known history of pelvic/ovarian endometriosis.
- Known history of medical disease (hypertension, cardiac, pulmonary disease, chronic endocrine or metabolic diseases such as diabetes).
- Blood diseases affecting coagulation profile.
- Anemic patients (less than 10 gm/dl)

History Taking

All women, were included into the study, were subjected to medical history taking including; name, age, education level, residence, history of medications.

Past history of chronic medical disorders as (anemia, hemorrhagic diseases, cardiac diseases, sever chronic allergic conditions, hepatic or renal) was also taken.

Menstrual history including: age of menarche, menstrual cycle, duration,

dysmenorrhea, intermenstrual spotting or discharge & last menstruation.

Obstetric history including, parity, past obstetric history, including the mode of delivery in the previous pregnancies, either spontaneous or instrument-assisted or by cesarean section, also history of previous laparotomy were taken.

Examination

General examination; vital signs were carefully measured intra-operative and every 30 min post-operative for 2 hours; they include four main items., pulse (P) measured from radial artery, blood pressure (BP), body temperature (T) was measured axillary & respiratory rate.

Also pallor, signs of haemostatic disorders, chest and heart were clinically evaluated during operation.

Abdominal Examination; to determine uterine size (in weeks), number of myoma & size of largest one if multiple myoma.

Local examination; for vaginal bleeding or any local gynecological lesions.

*Bimanual examination; to detect any other lesions than myoma

Investigations

All women included in the study had a real time ultrasound by using (TOSHIBA SSA-340A diagnostic ultrasound equipment) to determine size, site (anterior or posterior wall), number of myomas & size of largest one if multiple myoma.

In addition to the ultrasonographic examination done with admission, some laboratory investigations were performed including.

Haemoglobin (Hb) concentration, pre-operative Hb was detected as a routine investigation of admission and post-operative Hb was also detected by drawing another sample 12 hours after surgery, difference between two samples was calculated. Coagulation profile: which include prothrombin time (PT) prothrombin concentration (PC), and platelets count.

Study design:

This study included sixty patients which were randomly allocated into three groups, nearly equal in number of participants, each

group included twenty patients, one as a control groups and the others as intervention groups. after discharge of five patients from the study due to:

Group (I): including patients received preoperative misoprostol in dose of 400 µg vaginal. (Mistoac 200 mcg per tablet) and do bilateral uterine artery ligation (combined group), Group (II) including patients do bilateral uterine artery ligation alone (ligation group), Group (III): including patients that received misoprostol alone in dose of 400 µg vaginal. (Mistoac 200 mcg per tablet) (misoprostol group)

Procedure

The drug was given 30 min before the operation. Women in all groups were asked to remain in the supine position for 10 min following insertion. Vaginal medications were inserted by one of the investigating team members to ensure proper placement high up into the vagina.

Patients were admitted before the operation by three days. Blood pressure, pulse rate and temperature were recorded at the time of admission and investigations were done in the following days including ultrasound examination and complete blood picture.

Abdominal myomectomy was performed by the usual standard surgical technique through a transverse suprapubic incision and intraoperative bilateral uterine artery ligation was done to all patients of group I and group II before uterine incision started.

Data Collection

The total volume of intraoperative blood loss was estimated by measuring the amount of blood accumulated in the aspiration equipment.

Postoperative hemoglobin was measured 12 h after the operation. Any intraoperative and postoperative transfusion was recorded. The patient was discharged from hospital according to the clinical situation, and was scheduled to return for a follow-up assessment 6 weeks after the surgery, or earlier if medically indicated.

Study outcome

Primary outcome

Visually estimated amount of blood loss

Secondary outcome

1. Drop in Hb level
2. Need for blood transfusion
3. Operative time
4. Post- operative stay
5. Occurrence of side effects

Statistical analysis:

The results were recorded, tabulated and statistically analyzed. The data of all patients were fed into an IBM- compatible personal computer and analyzed using SPSS (version 16.0.0, California, USA).

Continuous and ordinal data were established as mean \pm standard deviation (SE) and other data as nominal scale (present 1; absent 0). Chi-square (Fischer's exact test) was used for ordinal and continuous data and the Mann-Whitney U test for continuous data in the comparison between groups. $P \leq 0.05$ was considered statistically significant. In repeated measurements, Friedman variance analysis was employed; on finding $P \leq 0.05$, a double measurement was made with Wilcoxon Rank test and $P \leq 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results

Table (1): Patients characteristics in the studied groups

Variables (mean+/-SD)	Group I (Combined Group) (N = 20)	Group II (Ligation Group) (N = 20)	Group III (Misoprostol Group) (N = 20)	P-VALUE
Age (years)	37.0 \pm 2.8	36.3 \pm 3.2	36.0 \pm 3.2	0.77
Body wt. (Kgs)	81.8 \pm 7.1	83.0 \pm 10.9	82.4 \pm 10.8	0.80
Parity				0.91
0	8 (40%)	6 (30%)	7 (35%)	
1	7 (35%)	10 (50%)	9 (45%)	
2	0 (0%)	4 (20%)	4 (20%)	
Previous Laparotomy	7 (35%)	0 (0%)	6 (30%)	0.79

* Significant.

Table (2): Features of myoma in the studied groups

Variables (mean+/-SD)	Group I (Combind group) (n = 20)	Group II (Ligation group) (n = 20)	Group III (Misoprostol Group) (n = 20)	P-value
Uterine Size (weeks)	13.7 \pm 2.2	14.4 \pm 2.11	14.3 \pm 1.9	0.03
No. of Myomas	2.60 \pm 0.91	2.90 \pm 0.94	3.1 \pm 0.83	0.28
Largest Myoma (cms)	8.1 \pm 1.6	7.8 \pm 1.4	8.3 \pm 1.8	0.62

Table (3): Mean values of pre-operative Hb among the studied groups

Variables (mean+/-SD)	Group I (Combind group) (n = 20)	Group II (Ligation group) (n = 20)	Group III (Misoprostol Group) (n = 20)	P-value
Pre-operative Hb (gm/dl)	10.89 \pm 0.4	10.73 \pm 0.78	11 \pm 0.46	0.33

Table (٤): Mean values of Post-operative Hb for the studied groups

Variables (mean+/-SD)	Group I (Combind group) (n = ٢٠)	Group II (Ligation group) (n = ٢٠)	Group III (Misoprostol Group) (n = ٢٠)	P-value		
Post-operative Hb (gm/dl)	٩.٩٧ ± ٠.٩٥	٩.٠٣ ± ١.٠٥	٩.٠٢ ± ١.٠٣	٠.٠٠٥*		
				I vs II	I vs III	II vs III
				٠.٠٠٥*	٠.٩٧٥	٠.٠٠٤*

* Significant.

Table (٥): Mean values of operative time for the studied groups.

Variables (mean+/-SD)	Group I (Combind group) (n = ٢٠)	Group II (Ligation group) (n = ٢٠)	Group III (Misoprostol Group) (n = ٢٠)	P-value		
Operative time (min)	٩٠.٥ ± ٩.٨٥	٩١.٢٥ ± ٨.٢٥	٩١.٧٥ ± ١٣.٤	٠.٥١٩		
				I vs II	I vs III	II vs III
				٠.٥٢٤	٠.٦١٨	٠.٦٠٢

Table (٦): Mean values of blood loss among the studied groups.

Variables (mean+/-SD)	Group I (Combind group) (n = ٢٠)	Group II (Ligation group) (n = ٢٠)	Group III (Misoprostol Group) (n = ٢٠)	P-value		
Blood Loss (ml)	٣٣٦.٠ ± ٨١.٥	٤٧٢.٥ ± ١٨٨.١	٥٢٧.٥ ± ٨٥.٠	<٠.٠٠١*		
				I vs II	I vs III	II vs III
				٠.٠٠١*	<٠.٠٠١*	٠.١٨٠

* Significant.

Table (٧): Need for blood transfusion among the studied groups

Variables	Group I (Combind group) (n = ٢٠)	Group II (Ligation group) (n = ٢٠)	Group III (Misoprostol Group) (n = ٢٠)	P-value		
Number of patients needed blood transfusion	١ (٥%)	٤ (٢٠%)	٥ (٢٥%)	٠.٠٢٦*		
				I vs II	I vs III	II vs III
				٠.٠١٨*	٠.٠٠٨*	٠.٧٤٤

Table (٨): Post-operative stay for the studied groups.

Variables	Group I (Combind group) (n = ٢٠)	Group II (Ligation group) (n = ٢٠)	Group III (Misoprostol Group) (n = ٢٠)	P-value
Post-operative Stay	١.٨٠ ± ٠.٦١	١.٧٥ ± ٠.٦٣	١.٩ ± ٠.٧٨	٠.٧٨

Table (9): Frequency of side effects among the studied groups.

Variables	Group I (Combind group) (n = 20)	Group II (Ligation group) (n = 20)	Group III (Misoprostol Group) (n = 20)	P-value	Variables (mean+/-SD)
No side effects	13 (65%)	7 (35%)	18 (90%)	13.06	0.001*
Nausea, Vomiting	1 (5%)	2 (10%)	1 (5%)	0.04	0.76
Fever	3 (15%)	0 (0%)	1 (5%)	3.14	0.20
Abd pain	2 (10%)	4 (20%)	0 (0%)	4.44	0.10
Diarrhea	1 (5%)	2 (10%)	0 (0%)	2.11	0.34
X ²	22.16				
P-value	< 0.001*				

* Significant.

Discussion

This current research, including sixty patients, divided into three groups (twenty patients in each group) compares the use of preoperative Misoprostol and intraoperative bilateral uterine artery ligation versus bilateral uterine artery ligation alone versus Misoprostol alone in reducing blood loss during abdominal myomectomy in reducing blood loss during abdominal myomectomy.

▪ **As regard mean blood loss:**

It was significantly greater in misoprostol group than in combined group and were respectively 027.0 ± 8.0 ml vs. 336 ± 11.0 ml (p value < 0.001). It was also greater in misoprostol group than in ligation group and were respectively 027.0 ± 8.0 ml vs. 472.0 ± 188.1 ml (p value 0.18).

Mean blood loss was significantly greater in misoprostol group and ligation group than combined group (p value 0.001).

Shokeir et al., 2012; in a double-blind randomized controlled pilot study that was conducted in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Mansoura University Hospital, Mansoura, Egypt, included 108 patients with 04 patient in each group comparing the efficacy of vaginal dinoprostone vs. placebo revealed mean blood loss in dinoprostone group 364 ± 279 ml with (P value < 0.02).

Husnu celik et al., 2003; in a study included 26 patients divided into 2 groups requiring abdominal myomectomy in university of Firat, Turkey. Misoprostol group (thirteen patient) received 400 microgram vaginal tablet 1 hour before abdominal myomectomy while control group (thirteen patients) received placebo.

Mean blood loss in misoprostol group was 472 ± 188 ml (p value < 0.0) which is consistent with our study. Kongnyuy et al., 2014; in a Conchare review that included 18 RCTs studies were performed on 1200 women to assess the effectiveness, safety, tolerability and costs of interventions to reduce blood loss during myomectomy.

Another two RCTs with vaginal misoprostol were conducted on 89 patient and revealed significant reduction in blood loss with MD 97.8 which is consistent with our study. Kongnyuy et al., 2007; in a Conchare review that included 17 RCTs studies with 371 patients, two RCTs assessed the role of vaginal misoprostol in 08 patient and showed significant decrease in blood loss compared to placebo with MD -149 ml.

▪ **As regards postoperative haemoglobin**
 Post-operative haemoglobin was significantly greater in combined group than both of ligation group and misoprostol groups and were respectively 9.97 ± 0.90 g/dl,

9.03 ± 1.00 g/dl, 9.02 ± 1.01 g/dl (p value < 0.005).

It was also greater in ligation group than in misoprostol group but with no significant

difference (p value 0.970). Shokeir et al., 2012; reported that there was no statistically significant difference in post-operative haemoglobin in the ligation group when compared to the misoprostol group that were respectively (9.0±1.2g/dl vs. 9.5±1.5 g/dl) (p value 0.7) which is consistent with our study.

Husnu celik et al., 2003; reported statistically significant difference between misoprostol group and ligation group in post-operative haemoglobin which were respectively 9.7±0.7g/dl vs. 8.9±0.5g/dl (p value <0.05) which is consistent with our study.

Kongnyuy et al., 2014; also reported statistically significant difference between misoprostol group and ligation group in post-operative haemoglobin which were respectively (9.8±0.6 g/dl vs. 9.2±0.7 g/dl) (P value<0.05) which is consistent with our study.

▪ **As regards operative time:**

There was no statistically significant difference in operative time among the three groups with reduced time in combined group in comparison with ligation and misoprostol groups which were respectively, 90.0±9.80 min, 91.2±8.20 min, 91.7±12.40 min (p value 0.001). Shokeir et al., 2012; reported no statistically significant difference between misoprostol group and ligation regarding operative time (82.8±30 min vs. 80.5±20) (p value 0.2) which is consistent with our study.

▪ **As regards need for blood transfusion**

It was found that combined group had less blood transfusion rate than ligation group and misoprostol group which were respectively 1(0%), 7(30%), 8(40%) (p value 0.26) while no significant difference was noticed between the dinoprostone and the placebo groups regarding blood transfusion rate (p value 0.744).

▪ **As regards post-operative stay:**

It was found that there was no statistically significant difference regarding post-operative stay between combined, ligation and Misoprostol groups that were

respectively 1.70±0.73, 1.8±0.71 and 1.9±0.78 days (p value 0.78).

▪ **As regards side effects:**

There was no statistically significant difference between the three groups regarding the occurrence of side effects in the 3 groups had fewer incidences of side effects in misoprostol group. Husnu celik et al., 2003; reported no statistically significant side effects in misoprostol group and ligation group (p value 0.4).

Conclusion

It could be concluded that preoperative use of vaginal misoprostol prior to abdominal myomectomy in combination with intraoperative bilateral uterine artery ligation could be an effective, efficient and reliable method in reducing blood loss with subsequent reduction in need for blood transfusion associated with minimal drug related adverse effects than the use of bilateral uterine artery ligation alone or the use of misoprostol alone without increasing the operative time

References

1. Baxter GS, Clayton JK, Coleman RA, Marshall K, Sangha R, Senior J. (1990): Characterization of the prostanoïd receptors mediating constriction and relaxation of human isolated uterine artery. *Br J Pharmacol*; 116(1): 1692-6.
2. Bernstein P (1991): Prostaglandin E2 gel for cervical ripening and labour induction: a multicentre placebo-controlled trial. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*; 145: 1249-50.
3. Borah, B.J., Nicholson, W.K., Bradley, L., and Stewart, E.A. (2013): The impact of uterine leiomyomas: a national survey of affected women. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.*; 209: 319.e1-319.e20.
4. Bulun, S.E. (2013): Uterine fibroids. *N Engl J Med.*; 369: 1344-1350
5. Chan LY (2004): The use of vaginal misoprostol before myomectomy. *Fertility and Sterility*; 81: 1160. author reply 1160-1
6. Holub Z, Mara M, Eim J (2007): Laparoscopic uterine artery occlusion

- versus uterine fibroid embolization. *International Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics*; 96: 44-50.
15. Husnu Celik, Ekrem Sapmaz, (2003): Use of a single preoperative dose of misoprostol is efficacious for patients who undergo abdominal myomectomy, *American society of reproductive medicine*, Vol 99; 1207-1210.
16. Istre O (2008): Management of symptomatic fibroids: conservative surgical treatment modalities other than abdominal or laparoscopic myomectomy. *Best Practice and Research Clinical Obstetrics and Gynaecology*; 22: 730-47.
17. Kongnyuy EJ, Wiysonge CS. (2011): Interventions to reduce haemorrhage during myomectomy for fibroids. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.*: CD009300.
18. Lethaby A, Vollenhoven B, Sowter M. (2004) Pre-operative GnRH analogue therapy before hysterectomy or myomectomy for uterine fibroids. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.*; 2: CD000547.
19. Levy BS (2008): Modern management of uterine fibroids. *Acta Obstetrica et Gynecologica Scandinavica*; 87: 812-23.
20. Lichtinger M, Hallson L, Calvo P, Adeboyejo G (2002): Laparoscopic uterine artery occlusion for symptomatic leiomyomas. *Journal of the American Association of Gynecologic Laparoscopists*; 9: 191-8.
21. Lin PC (2011): Gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist in laparoscopic myomectomy: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Journal of Minimally Invasive Gynecology*; 18: 33-9.
22. Markos AR (1989): Prostaglandin E₁ intrauterine suppositories in the treatment of secondary postpartum haemorrhage. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine*; 82: 504-5.
23. Morita M, Asakawa Y, Uchiide I, Nakakuma M, Kubo H. (2004): Surgery results using different uterine wall incision directions in laparoscopic myomectomy of the intramural myoma. *Reprod Med Biol.*; 3: 33-7.
24. NICE (2010): Uterine Artery Embolisation for Fibroids. London: NICE; 2010. Available from: <http://www.nice.org.uk/nicemedia/live/11020/51706/51706.pdf>. Accessed on October 21, 2013.
25. Parker WH (2007): Uterine myomas: management. *Fertility and Sterility*; 88: 200-71.
26. Ryan GL, Syrop CH, Van Voorhis BJ (2000): Role, epidemiology, and natural history of benign uterine mass lesions. *Clin Obstet Gynecol.*; 48: 312-324.
27. Sabry M, Al-Hendy A. (2012): Innovative oral treatments of uterine leiomyoma. *Obstet Gynecol Int.* 2012; 2012: 943730. doi:10.1155/943730
28. Sharan C, Halder SK, Thota C, Jaleel T, Nair S, Al-Hendy A. (2012): Vitamin D inhibits proliferation of human uterine leiomyoma cells via catechol-O-methyltransferase. *Fertil Steril.*; 90(1): 247-253.
29. Shwayder, J. and Sakhel, K. (2014): Imaging for uterine myomas and adenomyosis. *J Minim Invasive Gynecol.*; 21: 362-376
30. Wray S (1993): Uterine contraction and physiological mechanisms of modulation. *Am J Physiol*; 264: 1-18.
31. Xiao Y, Li X, Peng Y. (1998): Clinical study on reduction of postpartum bleeding in cesarean section by misoprostol. *Chung Hua Fu Chan Ko Tsa Chih* 1998; 33: 43-45.